



Competitive Grant Fund Evaluation Key—2010

For use in evaluating funding proposals from the following Community Foundation funds:

- Ada F. Harr Fund
- Anne C. & W. Franklin Ressler Fund
- Better Lancaster Fund
- Capacity Building Fund
- Florence Starr Taylor Fund for the Visual Arts
- Lancaster Environmental Fund
- Innovation Fund
- Patrick Kenney, Jr. Memorial Education Fund
- Sam & Verda Taylor Fund for the Performing Arts

Please Do Not Write on this Document – please use the score sheet

1 (Unsatisfactory) 2 (Needs Improvement) 3 (Average) 4 (Very good) 5 (Exceptional)

Please consider each of the questions within the subcategories outlined on this evaluation key and **provide one cumulative score for each category**. High scores should only be assigned to an engagement that effectively addresses the majority of the bullet points identified in each category.

Category 1: Change	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Does the proposal address an existing community opportunity in a new, innovative or more effective way that will change our community for the better? 	<p>1 2 3 4 5</p>
Category 2: Sustainability	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Are the proposal's benefits likely to be sustainable beyond the Community Foundation's funding period? 	<p>1 2 3 4 5</p>
Category 3: People and Places	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Does the organization serve a wide array of people and/or focus on an underserved or underrepresented group of people? • Does the engagement promote active participation of underrepresented populations and clients in planning through joint decision making? 	<p>1 2 3 4 5</p>



<p>Category 4: Implementation Plan</p>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Is the funding request well-planned, logical, and feasible with a well-defined and realistic scope of work? Do the human and material resources that the applicant organization is dedicating to the funding request seem reasonable to meet the goals? 	<p>1 2 3 4 5</p>
<p>Category 5: Collaboration</p>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Does the proposal promote collaboration among individuals, organizations and networks? Does the engagement promote collective action? 	<p>1 2 3 4 5</p>
<p>Category 6: Measureable Results</p>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Is the proposal likely to produce tangible benefits for the residents of Lancaster County? Are outcomes clearly articulated? Are the community benefits likely to be proportionate to the expense of the funding request? 	<p>1 2 3 4 5</p>
<p>Category 7: Organizational Capacity</p>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Will the funding request enhance the organization's operations and or governance? Is the proposal likely to result in an increased ability to fulfill the organizational mission? 	<p>1 2 3 4 5</p>
<p>Bonus Question</p>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Has the applicant organization committed or secured matching funds for the proposed budget? 	<p>0 1</p>